

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/498,429	02/04/2000	Mark E. Holzbach	065113.0146	8813		
33031	7590 04/28/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
	L STEPHENSON AS	POON, K	POON, KING Y			
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD. BLDG. 4, SUITE 201 AUSTIN, TX 78759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2624	2		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_					
4		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		C	9/498,429		HOLZBACH ET A	ıL.			
		E	xaminer		Art Unit				
			ing Y. Poon		2624	<u></u>			
The M Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this commun	nication appear	s on the cover sheet	t with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F G DATE OF THIS COMMUN me may be available under the provisions ONTHS from the mailing date of this common reply specified above is less than thirty (3 reply is specified above, the maximum signified above, the maximum signified above, the maximum signified by the Office later than three months are adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. so) days, a reply with tatutory period will al v will, by statute, cau	in the statutory minimum of pply and will expire SIX (6) No se the application to become	y a reply be tim thirty (30) days MONTHS from to a ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status									
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on 23 Febru	uary 2004.						
· <u> </u>									
3)☐ Since t	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of t	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s	Claim(s) 1-16 and 21 is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s	Claim(s) <u>17-20 and 22-31</u> is/are rejected.								
· `	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Pap	ers								
9)∏ The spe	ecification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ The dra	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applica	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oat	h or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attacl	hed Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
a) All 1. (2. (3. (rledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents had documents had of the priority	ave been received. ave been received in documents have be	n Applicatio	on No	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)	·								
	rences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intervie						
	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (F sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		_	No(s)/Mail Da of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PT0	D-152)			
Paper No(s)/M	* * *		6) 🔲 Other: _		, , ,	-			

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 17-20, 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonny Gustafsson (Internet-based support for the production of holographic stereograms, Practical Holography XII, March, 1998, pp. 169-174) in view of Kihara et al. (US 6,236,475).

Regarding claim 17: Gustafsson teaches a method (all the discussion is referring to specification of the end user interface, page 171, unless stated otherwise) for producing master holographic stereograms (holograms) (line 4; note) on-demand for an individual customer, (user line 2) from customer-provided source material, (VRML file, line 4) comprising the steps of acquiring image data (image, lines 6-8) at a data acquisition station (the place where the user's computer is located), having a data acquisition processor (the processing software of the user's computer that receives image data from the VRML file, lines 3-8) that receives image data based on the source material and a customer-based preview processor (the software of the user's computer that controls the display of the hologram to the user, lines 3-9) that displays a

Art Unit: 2624

of the hologram for viewing by the customer; delivering the image data to an image processing station. (Producer or printer, lines 10-11)

Gustafsson does not teach an image processor operable to generate hogel data based on image data received from the data acquisition station; and delivering the hogel data to a printing station having a spatial light modulator for receiving the hogel data from the image processor and for displaying holographic object images, and having a printer for producing a holographic stereogram.

Kihara, in the same area of printing holograms, teaches it is well known in the art that a hologram is printed by using an image processing station (data processor 11, column 10, lines 14-32) having an image processor (inherent properties of a data processor) operable to generate hogel data (signal of D5, fig. 6, column 10, lines 30-35) based on image data received from a data acquisition station (14, or computer 15, fig. 6); and delivering the hogel data to a printing station (printer device 13, and control computer 12, column 10, lines 40-45) having a spatial light modulator (LCD 18, column 10, lines 40-50) for receiving the hogel data (D5 fig. 6) from the image processor and for displaying holographic object images, (column 11, lines 25-32) and having a printer (printer head, column 12, lines 45-52) for producing a holographic stereogram. (Hologram 19, column 11, lines 20-25)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system to include: an image processor, in the image processing station, operable to generate hogel data based on image data received from the data acquisition station; and delivering the hogel

Art Unit: 2624

data to a printing station having a spatial light modulator for receiving the hogel data from the image processor and for displaying holographic object images, and having a printer for producing a holographic stereogram.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system by the teaching of Kihara because of the following reasons: (a) it would have allowed Gustafsson's system to be able to print the hologram; and (b) using a well-known method of creating the hologram would have allowed users benefit from years of research and experience that has been used in producing holograms such that the hologram produced would have the highest quality with less cost.

Note: Inherently, all holograms are masters because inherently, hologram provides images and images can be converted into hologram.

Regarding claim 18: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition station is remote from the image processing station and the printing station. (Internet, page 171)

Regarding claim 19: Gustafsson does not teach wherein the image processing station also has an operator-based preview processor operable to display a representation of the hologram for viewing by an operator of the image processor.

However, Gustafsson teaches to use a computer to display a representation of the hologram for viewing by a user of the image processor.

Since a producer is a human and is controlling the producing of the hologram, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system to include: wherein the

Art Unit: 2624

image processing station (computer) also has an operator-based preview processor operable to display a representation of the hologram for viewing by an operator of the image processor; because it would have allowed the producer to view what he is doing and help him to reduce error.

Regarding claim 20: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition station is a personal computer. (Computer, ordinary user, page 169)

Regarding claim 22: Gustafsson taches wherein the data acquisition processor and the customer-based preview processor execute with programming downloaded to the personal computer. (Download VRML browser, page 171)

Regarding claim 23: Gustafsson teaches wherein the customer-based preview processor displays preview images downloaded from a server. (VRML images displayed in a browser from Web page of a WWW server transmitted over Internet, page 171).

Regarding claim 24: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition processor receives at least input from a video source. (Animated images, lines 8, page 171, specification of the end user interface)

Regarding claim 25: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition processor receives at least input from two dimensional printed material. (Photograph, page 169, lines 1-6, introduction)

Regarding claim 26: Gustafsson teaches the step of compositing image data from different source material. (Add and remove data, page 170, e.g., the adding and

Art Unit: 2624

removing of data from 3D scene, the data (source) added is different (new) compares to original data (source data before data being added))

Regarding claim 27: Gustafsson teaches wherein the compositing occurs at the data acquisition station. (Browser of user, page 170)

Regarding claim 28: Gustafsson teaches wherein the compositing occurs at a server site, such that the pre-view processor displays composited preview images download from the server sites.

Note: Gustafsson teaches downloaded 3D images in VRML format from other computer/server, page 170; load VRML files from computer systems or disk, page 171; and upload the composite VRML files to another computer located in the producer. Therefore, Gustafsson teaches compositing of images occurs at one computer site; and displayed the composited preview images, download from the one computer site, in another computer site.

Regarding claim 29: Gustafsson does not teach wherein the image processing station and printing station are geographically remote and in data communication.

However, Gustafsson teaches communication using Internet (page 171) and the concept of using Internet is to allow two communication parties to be remote from each other.

Since the image processing station and the printing station are two different devices communicating with each other; it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system by the teaching of Internet technology and concept to include:

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/498,429

Art Unit: 2624

wherein the image processing station and printing station are geographically remote and in data communication.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system by the teaching of Internet technology and concept because it would have expanded the hologram producing system of Gustafsson by allowing the image processing station to control a printing system everywhere in the world.

Regarding claim 30: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition processor delivers 2D sequence data to the image processor. (A number of plane polygonal patches, page 170)

Regarding claim 31: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition processor delivers computer generated 3D graphics data to the image processor. (VRML file, page 169, 170)

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-16, 21 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2624

With respect to applicant's argument that Gustafsson does not teach the VRML file is in any way based on the customer provided source material, has been considered.

In reply: Page 171, line 4, specification of the end user interface, teaches user loads his (customer) VRML files (source material) into the browser.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is (703) 305-0892

April 26, 2004

Jan Por